



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI SALERNO

Department of Industrial Engineering
Master's Degree in Chemical Engineering

Characterization of a coaxial injection mixer for continuous production of nanoparticles

Thesis in
Transport Phenomena

Supervisors:

Prof. Eng. Gaetano Lamberti

Eng. Diego Caccavo

Eng. Raffaella De Piano

Candidate:

Cinzia De Caro

0622800632

Academic Year 2022/2023

This text was printed in-house, in Times New Roman

The scheduled date for the thesis discussion is 20/07/2023.

Fisciano, 07/07/2023

Tables of contents

Tables of contents	I
Table of figures	III
Table of tables	V
Abstract	VII
Introduction	9
1.1 Mixing and reaction in chemical engineering _____	10
1.1.1 Micro Meso and Macro mixing	10
1.1.2 Bourne protocol	12
1.1.3 Villermaux-Dushman reaction	15
1.2 Nanoprecipitation _____	17
1.2.1 Fed-batch	17
1.2.2 Microfluidic and simil-microfluidic	18
1.2.3 Micromixing	20
1.3 Model nanoparticles: liposomes _____	24
1.4 Aims _____	26
Materials and methods	29
2.1 Materials _____	30
2.2 Device _____	30
2.3 Methods _____	32
2.3.1 Mixing performance	32

2.3.2 Preparation of liposomes	40
Results and discussion	43
3.1 Characterization of the fluid-dynamics regimes _____	44
3.2 Characterization of the mixing time _____	51
3.3 Mixing performance evaluation using the Villermaux-Dushman reaction _____	61
3.4 Relationship between the mixing time and the segregation index _____	62
3.5 Impact of the fluid-dynamic regimes on the characteristics of liposomes _____	63
3.5.1 Concentration of the extracted phosphatidylcholine: 94 [g/L]	64
3.5.2 Concentration of the extracted phosphatidylcholine: 44 [g/L]	68
3.5.3 Concentration of the extracted phosphatidylcholine: 23,5 [g/L]	72
Conclusions.....	79
References.....	83

Table of figures

Figure 1 - <i>Fluid mixing processes following the cascade of turbulent energy from large to small scales. [23]</i>	11
Figure 2 – <i>Principle of the EDD model [7]</i>	12
Figure 3 – <i>Bourne protocol</i>	14
Figure 4 – <i>Diagram of the micromixing system</i>	15
Figure 5 - <i>Piping representation for the experimental setup for the similmicrofluidic method.</i>	19
Figure 6 - <i>Schematic illustration of the coaxial turbulent jet mixer [25].</i>	21
Figure 7 - <i>Schematic illustrations and top views of fluid flow [25].</i>	22
Figure 8 – <i>Phase diagram of jet flow regime in terms of NRe and R [25].</i>	22
Figure 9 - <i>Dimensionless mixing time as a function of Re when the coaxial turbulent jet mixer is operated in turbulent jet regime [25].</i>	23
Figure 10 – <i>Schematic representation of the liposome assembly process [27].</i> ...24	
Figure 11 - <i>Classification of liposomes based on size.</i>	25
Figure 12 - <i>Production plant with the coaxial injection mixing technique</i>	31
Figure 13 - <i>3D view of the push and pull syringe pump [32]</i>	31
Figure 14 - <i>An example of the procedure to estimate L</i>	33
Figure 15 - <i>Determination of the molar extinction coefficient of triiodide ion.</i> ...39	
Figure 16 – <i>Example of video analysis in laminar and turbulent regimes.</i>	49
Figure 17 - <i>Phase diagram of jet flow regime in terms of FVR and NRe.</i>	50
Figure 18 – <i>τ_{mix} against NRe for the 23G needle, under turbulent regime. Straight lines indicate the fitted equation.</i>	57

Figure 19 - τ_{mix} against NRe for the 25G needle, under turbulent regime. Straight lines indicate the fitted equation.	57
Figure 20 - a_i versus FVR for the turbulent regime. Straight line indicates the fitted equation.	59
Figure 21 - Dimensionless mixing time as a function of NRe when the coaxial turbulent jet mixer is operated.	60
Figure 22 - Relationship between X_s and τ_{mix}	63
Figure 23 - Numeric distribution at PC concentration of 94 g/L.	65
Figure 24 - Intensity distribution at PC concentration of 94 g/L.	66
Figure 25 - Volumetric distribution at PC concentration of 94 g/L.	67
Figure 26 - Visual comparison of liposomes produced at a PC concentration of 94 g/L.	67
Figure 27 - Numeric distribution at PC concentration of 44 g/L.	69
Figure 28 - Intensity distribution at PC concentration of 44 g/L.	70
Figure 29 - Volumetric distribution at PC concentration of 44 g/L.	71
Figure 30 - Visual comparison of liposomes produced at a PC concentration of 44 g/L.	72
Figure 31 - Numeric distribution at PC concentration of 23,5 g/L.	73
Figure 32 - Intensity distribution at PC concentration of 23,5 g/L.	74
Figure 33 - Volumetric distribution at PC concentration of 23,5 g/L.	75
Figure 34 - Visual comparison of liposomes produced at a PC concentration of 23,5 g/L.	76

Table of tables

Table 1 - Reaction kinetics for $H_2SO_4 = 0.05 M$	37
Table 2 - Reaction kinetics for $H_2SO_4 = 0.05 M$	37
Table 3 - Concentration of reagents	37
Table 4 - Calibration curve data	38
Table 5 - Regression coefficient and proportionality coefficient	39
Table 6 - Concentration of the extracted phosphatidylcholine	40
Table 7 - Production with coaxial injection mixer	40
Table 8 - Experiments performed using Needle 23G	44
Table 9 - Experiments performed using Needle 25G	46
Table 10 - Evaluation of the ratio between τ_{mix} and τ_o for the needle 23G.	51
Table 11 - Evaluation of the ratio between τ_{mix} and τ_o for the needle 25G	53
Table 12 - The values of a_i for different FVR.	58
Table 13 - Flow rate used for the Villermaux-Dushman reaction	61
Table 14 - Parameters of liposomes produced at a PC concentration of 94 g/L.	64
Table 15 - Parameters of liposomes produced at a PC concentration of 44 g/L.	68
Table 16 - Parameters of liposomes produced at a PC concentration of 23,5 g/L.	72

Abstract

This thesis aimed to investigate the fluid dynamics conditions in a coaxial injection mixer and to examine their impact on the resulting nanoparticles (liposomes). The objectives of the study were achieved through a series of experimental steps. Initially, the fluid dynamics conditions described by Lim et al. (2014) were replicated using a coaxial turbulent jet mixer. The mixing performance was studied by conducting an acid-base neutralization reaction, with the presence of an indicator, at several fluid dynamics regimes. From these experiments and through image analysis it was possible to calculate the mixing time from the measurement of the mixing length and from the knowledge of the total flow rate.

The mixing performance was also evaluated using the Villermaux-Dushman reaction (competitive consecutive reaction system). A linear relationship between the segregation index (X_s) calculated from the reaction yield and the mixing time was observed. These results allowed to confirm the goodness of the results obtained from the acid-base neutralization reaction and confirmed the importance of the micromixing phenomenon in this application.

Such a characterized system was used to produce liposomes containing a model drug (vitamin D3) at different flow rates and phosphatidylcholine concentrations. Moving from laminar to turbulent production conditions resulted in a significant decrease in the size of liposomes, maintaining high encapsulation efficiency. This demonstrated that the formation of liposome through nanoprecipitation is governed by the mixing time between the solvent and the antisolvent, which should be as fast as possible (micromixing time scale) to achieve narrow and low particle size distribution.

Chapter Four

Conclusions

In this chapter the conclusions of the work described in the previous chapter are summarized.

In conclusion, this thesis aimed to investigate and examine the influence of fluid dynamics conditions in a coaxial injection mixer on the characterization of liposomes. The objectives of the study were successfully achieved through a series of experimental steps.

Initially, the fluid dynamics conditions described in the study by Lim et al. (2014) were replicated using the coaxial turbulent jet mixer. By conducting an acid-base neutralization reaction and analysing the resulting flow behaviour zones, the accuracy of the coaxial injection mixing was verified. The experimental observations demonstrated a close correspondence between the results obtained with different needle sizes.

The mixing time, was calculated based on video analysis, using the measured mixing length (L) at the point of complete neutralization of the acid-base reaction. Indeed, the experimental results presented in the study demonstrated that the EDD model can effectively estimate the mixing time when the coaxial turbulent jet mixer is operated in the turbulent jet regime.

Furthermore, the study utilized the ε parameter from the EDD model, which represents the average turbulent kinetic energy dissipation, to calculate the τ_{ω} parameter. The ratio between τ_{mix} and τ_{ω} was found to be approximately one, regardless of the FVR and Reynolds number (NRe), indicating that the mixing time can be estimated accurately using the EDD model in the turbulent jet regime.

The mixing performance was evaluated using the Villermaux-Dushman reaction, and the segregation index (X_s) was measured for different concentrations of reagents. The results indicated that in the turbulent regime, the system approached almost a perfectly mixed state, where the common reagent was fully consumed by the quasi-instantaneous reaction. Additionally, a linear relationship between X_s and the mixing time (τ_{mix}) was observed, allowing for the quantification of micromixing independent of the chemical reaction.

Lastly, the impact of flow rates and phosphatidylcholine concentrations on the characteristics of liposomes was assessed. The study revealed that when moving from laminar to turbulent production conditions, there was a notable decrease in the size of liposomes. This transition led to the production of smaller liposomes under turbulent conditions. This finding highlights the importance of fluid dynamics conditions in controlling the size and properties of liposomes during their production

process. This evidence was confirmed by evaluating the encapsulation efficiency, turbidity, z-average, load and numeric, volumetric, and intensity distribution, for different concentrations of extracted phosphatidylcholine.

Overall, this thesis successfully investigated the influence of fluid dynamics conditions on the resulting production of liposomes. The findings contribute to the understanding of the relationship between mixing conditions and liposome characteristics, offering insights for the optimization of liposome (and nanoparticles) production processes in coaxial-injection mixers.

References

1. Paul, E. L., V. Atiemo-Obeng, and S. Kresta, Handbook of Industrial Mixing, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2004
2. C. Habchi, S. Ouarets, T. Lemenand, D. Della Valle, J. Bellettre, H. Peerhossaini, Influence of viscosity ratio on droplets formation in a chaotic advection flow, International Journal of Chemical Reactor Engineering, (2009).
3. Baldyga, J., J. R. Bourne, and B. Walker, Non-Isothermal Micromixing in Turbulent Liquids: Theory and Experiment, Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering, 76, 641 (1998)
4. J. Baldyga, J.R. Bourne, Turbulent Mixing and Chemical Reactions, Wiley, 1999.
5. T. Lemenand et al., Micro-mixing measurement by chemical probe in homogeneous and isotropic turbulence, Chemical Engineering Journal 314 (2017), pp. 453-465.
6. John R. Bourne, Mixing and the Selectivity of Chemical Reactions, Organic Process Research & Development, 2003, 7, 471-508.
7. Akram Ghanem, Thierry Lemenand, Dominique Della Valle, Hassan Peerhossaini, Assessment of Mixing by Chemical Probe in Swirl Flow HEX Reactors, Paper No: FEDSM2012-72035, pp. 1141-1148, 8 pages.
8. Bourne, J. R., 1992, An improved reaction system to investigate micro-mixing in high intensity mixers, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 31 (3), pp. 949-958.
9. Fournier, M.C., Falk, L., Villermaux, J., 1996, A new parallel competing reaction system for assessing micro-mixing efficiency - Experimental approach, Chem. Eng. Sci., 51, pp. 5053-5064.
10. Guichardon, P., Falk, L., 2000, Characterisation of micro-mixing efficiency by the iodide-iodate reaction system. Part I: Experimental procedure, Chem. Eng. Sci., 55, pp. 4233- 4243.
11. Baldyga, J., Bourne, J.R., 1989, Simplification of micro-mixing calculations: I. Derivation and application of a new model, Chem. Eng. J., 42, pp. 83-92.

12. Fessi, H., Puisieux, F., Devissaguet, J.P., Ammoury, N., Benita, S., 1989. Nanocapsule formation by interfacial polymer deposition following solvent displacement. *Int. J. Pharm.* 55, R1–R4.
 13. C.J. Martínez Rivas, M. Tarhini, W. Badri, K. Miladi, H. Greige-Gerges, Q.A. Nazari, S.A. Galindo Rodríguez, R. Álvarez Román, H. Fessi, A. Elaissari, Nanoprecipitation process: From encapsulation to drug delivery, *Int. J. Pharm.*, vol. 532, pp. 66-81, 2017.
 14. R. Donnoa et al., Nanomanufacturing through microfluidic-assisted nanoprecipitation: Advanced analytics and structure-activity relationships, *Int. J. Pharmaceutics*, vol. 534, pp. 97-107, 2017.
 15. Kumaresan, T., Joshi, J.B., 2006. Effect of impeller design on the flow pattern and mixing in stirred tanks. *Chem. Eng. J.* 115, 173–193.
 16. Hamdallah, S.I.; Zoqlam, R.; Erfle, P.; Blyth, M.; Alkilany, A.M.; Dietzel, A.; Qi, S. Microfluidics for pharmaceutical nanoparticle fabrication: The truth and the myth. *Int. J. Pharm.* 2020, 584, 119408.
 17. Matthew, S.A.L.; Rezwan, R.; Perrie, Y.; Seib, F.P. Volumetric Scalability of Microfluidic and Semi-Batch Silk Nanoprecipitation Methods. *Molecules* 2022, 27, 2368.
 18. Wongpinyochit, T.; Totten, J.D.; Johnston, B.F.; Seib, F.P. Microfluidic-assisted silk nanoparticle tuning. *Nanoscale Adv.* 2019, 1, 873–883.
 19. Valencia, P.M., Farokhzad, O.C., Karnik, R., Langer, R., 2012. Microfluidic technologies for accelerating the clinical translation of nanoparticles. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 7, 623–629.
 20. Karnik, R., Gu, F., Basto, P., Cannizzaro, C., Dean, L., Kyei-Manu, W., Langer, R., Farokhzad, O.C., 2008. Microfluidic platform for controlled synthesis of polymeric nanoparticles. *Nano Lett.* 8, 2906–2912.
 21. Bochicchio, S., et al., Advances in Nanoliposomes Production for Ferrous Sulfate Delivery. *Pharmaceutics*, 2020. **12**(5).
 22. W.S. Saad and R.K. Prud'homme, Principles of nanoparticle formation by flash nanoprecipitation, *Nano Today*, vol. 11, pp. 212-227, 2016.
 23. B.K.Johnson, R.K.Prud'homme, Chemical Processing and Micromixing in Confined Impinging Jets, *AIChEJ.*49(2003)2264.
 24. Letchford, K., Liggins, R., Burt, H., 2008. Pharmaceutics, preformulation and drug delivery. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 97, 1179–1190.
 25. Lim, J.-M., et al., Ultra-High Throughput Synthesis of Nanoparticles with Homogeneous Size Distribution Using a Coaxial Turbulent Jet Mixer. *ACS Nano*, 2014. 8(6): p. 6056-6065.
 26. Bochicchio S., Nanostructured vectors for the transport of active molecules through biological membranes for pharmaceutical and nutraceutical applications, PhD in Industrial Engineering at University of Salerno, (2014)
-

27. Aldo Jesorka and Owe Orwar. Liposomes: Technologies and Analytical Applications. *Annu. Rev. Anal. Chem.* 2008. 1:801–32.
 28. Dirk van Swaay, Andrew deMello. Microfluidic methods for forming liposomes. *Lab Chip*, 2013, 13, 752.
 29. Akbarzadeh, A., et al., Liposome: classification, preparation, and applications. *Nanoscale research letters*, 2013. 8(1): p. 1-9.
 30. Mitragotri S, Burke PA, Langer R. Overcoming the challenges in administering biopharmaceuticals: formulation and delivery strategies. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2014;13(9):655–72.
 31. Markwalter, C. E., Pagels, R. F., Hejazi, A. N., Gordon, A. G. R., Thompson, A. L., & Prud'homme, R. K. (2020). Polymeric Nanocarrier Formulations of Biologics Using Inverse Flash NanoPrecipitation. *The AAPS Journal*, 22(1), 18.
 32. Marco Iannone, Diego Caccavo, Anna Angela Barba, Gaetano Lamberti. A low-cost push-pull syringe pump for continuous flow applications, *HardwareX*, Volume 11, 2022, e00295.
 33. P. Guichardon, L. Falk, J. Villiermaux, Characterization of micromixing efficiency by the iodide-iodate reaction system. Part II: kinetic study, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 55 (2000), 4245-4253.
 34. Della Vecchia M., Analysis of the impact of fluid dynamics conditions on nanoparticles production process, Master's Degree thesis in Food Engineering, Università degli Studi di Salerno, (2021).
 35. Caccavo D., Lamberti G., Barba A.A., 2023, Coaxial Injection Mixer for the Continuous Production of Nanoparticles, *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 100, 301-306.
-